COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE
JUNE 2, 2011

Agenda Item E. Communications Received

The attached correspondence has been submitted by the public to the Redistricting Advisory Committee and is not directly related to a specific item on the agenda. The attachment includes correspondence received from May 12, 2011 at noon to May 24, 2011 at 5 p.m.

The only action for the Committee to take is to note and file the receipt of the correspondence.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2011 9:03 AM
To: Charlene Ayers
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew
Subject: RE: 2011 Redistricting Commission: Process Questions
Attachments: 05-21-2001 Minutes.pdf; 2001 Timeline.pdf

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Attached you will find the 2001 redistricting timeline for your reference as well as the minutes from the Redistricting Advisory Committee meeting of May 21, 2001. The Committee's meetings in the five Supervisorial districts were held between April 23, 2001 and May 10, 2001. The deadline to submit public plans was May 15, 2001. The Committee began to direct staff to prepare plans at their meeting of May 21, 2001.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [mailto:char.ayers@att.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 12:23 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Ranters Roost
Subject: 2011 Redistricting Commission: Process Questions

Ms Temple...

I do appreciate this history.

It looks to me like the same type of meeting schedule that the RAC set up for 2011 actually produced "plans" from people outside of the Commission in 2001.

Is it correct...that individual Supervisorial District meetings preceded the RAC draft of their own "plans" in 2001?

Charlene Ayers

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: "CSG, Redistricting 2011" <Redistricting2011.CSG@sdcounty.ca.gov>
To: char.ayers@att.net
Cc: "Potter, Andrew" <Andrew.Potter@sdcounty.ca.gov>; "Pettingill, William L" <William.Pettingill@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Tue, May 10, 2011 11:29:01 AM
Subject: Re: Process Questions

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Attached you will find the Board of Supervisors agenda item and staff presentation on redistricting from July 10, 2001. This material should address your questions.

Regarding the County Redistricting Advisory Committee's 2011 meeting format, all meetings are held in conformance with the Brown Act and as described in the Redistricting Advisory Committee's Rules of Procedure adopted on February 23, 2011. Further, public comment is welcome and encouraged at any and all of the Committee's public meetings, both before and throughout the Committee's development of redistricting plan recommendations. Public comment about redistricting items is also welcome and encouraged at all of the Board of Supervisors meetings.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [mailto:char.ayers@att.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 8:40 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Re: Process Questions

6. Who was Chair of the Redistricting Commission in 2001?
Charlene Ayers

From: Charlene Ayers <char.ayers@att.net>
To: redistricting2011 <redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Tue, May 10, 2011 8:33:18 AM
Subject: Process Questions

I would like to know:

1. If the 2011 Redistricting Commission meeting format is the same as in 2001 with the public meetings before the Commission presents their "plans"?

2. How many "plans" were submitted by the public in 2001?

3. How many public speakers commented before the Commission presented their "plans" in 2001?

4. What is the origin of the current meeting format? Always done it that way?

5. What is the rationale for the current meeting format, the public comment before the Commission presents their "plans"?

Charlene Ayers

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2011 5:56 PM
To: vsanfi@gmail.com
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: LEG - CITY/COUNTY REDISTRICT COMMISSION (redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov)
Attachments: LEG - CITY_COUNTY REDISTRICT COMMISSION.HTML

Dear vsanfi@gmail.com,

Thank you for your email. Your comments will be shared with the County's Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: vsanfi@gmail.com [mailto:vsanfi@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2011 4:09 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: redistrict_2010@sandiego.gov
Subject: LEG - CITY/COUNTY REDISTRICT COMMISSION (redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov)

Attached: LEG - CITY/COUNTY REDISTRICT COMMISSION

Message from vsanfi@gmail.com:
An update to any email I sent you earlier, thank you!

Google Docs makes it easy to create, store and share online documents, spreadsheets and presentations.
Google docs

LEG - TO CITY (COUNTY) REDISTRICT COMMISSIONERS

LA JOLLA WITH UNIVERSITY CITY:

-I do not believe that the Proposition to Redistrict the City with a 9th District was passed by the voters, based on past vote cheating by Republican ROV.

-And why would the voters pass an additional district costing an additional $1 million per year, with no increase in population?

-The 9th District is a political move sponsored by the Republican party in an attempt to get an additional Asian-Republican district.

-Therefore, I would ask the commission to please resist any political pressure by the Republicans and 'APAC' to make this 9th Asian district with only 16%, gerrymandered among communities of the Ranchos, Mira Mesa, Kearny Mesa and Linda Vista, which belong to the contiguous Clairemont block of communities.

-I favor the Coast to Canyon plan keeping La Jolla, UCSD and University City together, these are all communities which share the UCSD influence and city housing style.

CLAIREMONT CUT OFF MISSION VALLEY:

-I do not believe that Republican Lorie Zapf was elected in District 6, which had been represented by Donna Frye, thrice elected to Mayor and cheated of our vote.

-I personally saw the vote for Howard Wayne suddenly widened beyond automatic recount on TV, a red flag for vote manipulation.

-I do not believe the voters elected an unknown realtor over the Deputy Attorney General of California, Howard Wayne, who was supported by police and fire departments.

-To reduce population in District 6, I favor deleting the MIssion Valley 20K population, who are not really contiguous with the communities on the mesa which are Clairemont, Kearny Mesa, Bay Park, Linda Vista and Serra Mesa.

-District 6 should be from Highway 52 in the north but including Clairemont North, to 5 on the East, to 8 on the South, north of the River, to 15 in the West, as the Clairemont Town Council has supported.

-The Mission Valley community can be matched with those eastern communities that frequent it more often, such as Grantville, Allied Gardens, Del Cerro and Lake Murray.

2nd HISPANIC DISTRICT:

-Please respect the 30% Hispanic population and create a 2nd Hispanic district instead of an Asian district.

-Asians are 16%, mainly vote Republican, and are already well-represented by the Republican dominance.

-This 9th Asian district is not being pushed by the Asians themselves, they are being dragged to the redistricting meetings by White Republicans who claim to be 'sensitive' to their ethnicity, but who are not equally sensitive to Hispanic & African ethniticities.

-Hispanics deserve at least 2 seats on the City Council, they are nearly ⅓ of the population, and I support that the City Heights to South district as favored by the Latino Redistricting Commission be honored.

CUT OFF POWAY FROM CITY:

-To delete population, I would recommend removing all the communities included in the City of Poway School district from the City boundaries.

-These communities, including Del Mar Ranch, Carmel Ranch, Rancho Penasquitos & Rancho Bernardo and a few others, should be represented

on the Poway City Council.

-These northern communities are beyond the true city level of La Jolla, University City and Mira Mesa, are suburban in character, and are closer to Escondido and North County cities of Del Mar than they are to San Diego.

-The people in these communities admit that they rarely go south to the city proper, and all moved up there and created these communities to participate in the Poway School District, a non-City school district.

Thank you.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 3:42 PM
To: Adam Day; Andrea Skorepa; Deanna Weeks; Dennis Ridz; Michel Anderson
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Haas, Mikel; Potter, Andrew; Grudman, Rich
Subject: Updates to online redistricting plan viewer

Redistricting Advisory Committee,

Now posted on the County's online redistricting plan viewer are draft redistricting plans developed at the direction of your Committee at the last meeting of May 16, 2011. At the next meeting of June 2, 2011, I plan to review the committee's directions and discuss the development of these plans in detail to ensure that staff's actions accurately reflect the directions provided. I will note that one element of both 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 1-1 and 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 3-1 is the addition of tract 68.02 in the Point Loma area to District 4 by staff. This action was taken to avoid creating a non-contiguous island of a portion of District 4 created by following the directions from the last meeting.

Moving forward, to help ensure that staff actions align with the intent of the Committee's direction, it will be most helpful for future written and verbal instructions to staff that they be structured as follows: On Draft Plan ___, put census tracts/blocks in District ___. Key information for staff is the name of the plan that is the basis for the direction, and the end result (the district in which a member wants a block/tract to end up).

Please let me know if I can answer any questions. I look forward to seeing you at the 2:00 p.m. meeting on June 2.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 9:29 AM
To: Valerie Sanfilippo
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L; Haas, Mikel
Subject: RE: Carlos Marquez, Commissioner

Dear Ms. Sanfilippo,

Thank you for your email to the County of San Diego. Please address your comments about the City of San Diego's Redistricting Commissioner directly to the City's Redistricting Commission at redistricting_2010@sandiego.gov.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Valerie Sanfilippo [mailto:vsanfi@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 4:18 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011; redistrict_2010@sandiego.gov
Subject: Carlos Marquez, Commissioner

TO: City Redistricting Commission

Fr: Valerie Sanfilippo, Voting Activist

Do not accept the attack of Republicans on a Democratic Redistrcting Commissioner.

Ask whether the Republicans would attack this Commissioner if he were Republican?

Working backwards, we have seen Republicans drag Asians into Redistricting meetings to make a 9th Asian Republican district, pretending to care about ethnic representation.

Ask, do Republicans care about other ethnicities such as Hispanics and Afros who vote Democratic?

Republicans sponsored the Proposition for an additional district with the intention to make it an Asian Republican district.

Republicans likely cheated the proposition vote, why would majority Democratic city vote to add $1 million per year to city expenses without additional population?

Republicans have been attacking the new Democratic majority on the City Council.

Republicans cheated City Council candidate Howard Wayne's vote by suddenly widening the gap of the vote, preventing an automatic recount.

Republicans attacked the first Democratic majority on City Council by falsely accusing 3 Democratic City Councilmen of taking campaign contributions for action.

A Republican Judge, because all our Judges are Republican, had to restore Michael Zucchet to innocence when a jury wrongly convicted him.

Republicans attacked Democrats for accepting a planted campaign contribution of $2,000 to change the vice laws, which was not done.

$2,000 is what Republicans likely spend on one dinner wining and dining Republicans to buy criminal Republican voting computers.

The Republican attack on Democrats needs to stop right here, right now.

Redistricting is supposed to be nonpartisan, and Republicans are taking advantage of your sense of fairness.

Redistricting cannot be nonpartisan when one side, the Republicans, are acting in such a vicious partisan manner.

Please keep Carlos Marquez on the Commission and ignore this partisan attack on our democracy.

Thank you.

--
Regards, Val Sanfilippo,
Seiu, Sierra, Moveon.